	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/808,411	MORI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	FRANK W. LU	1634
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>FRANK W. LU</u> .	(3)	
(2) Eggerton Campbell (Reg. No. 51,307).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 October 2008.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-15,19-23 and 34-36</u> .		·
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊡ was not reached. h)⊡ N	I/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Mr. Campbell and the extended in the solid phase is only located on the surface and add a pressure difference-generating apparatus as a Campbell will file a response.	aminer discussed the case. The case are seed the case and the first opening part into	e examiner suggested to add independent claims 1 and 11
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that v	reed would render the claims vould render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to th GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW on reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has already R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT` TERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	y been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
(Faral, M.L.)	October 28,2008	
/Frank W Lu / Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634	October 20,2000	